Indian Farmer
Volume 13, Issue 08, 2026, Pp. 428-437
Available online at: www.indianfarmer.net
ISSN: 2394-1227 (Online)

Original Article

Phylogenomics revolution: How genomic data is reshaping insect taxonomy and resolving century-old mysteries

Mude Pavan Kalyan[1], Chalam, M.S.V[2] and Gaurav Vinod Rao Sadafale[3]

[1]*Ph.D Scholar, Department of Entomology, S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, 517502, India.*

[2]*Professor and Head, Department of Entomology, S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, 517502, India.*

[3]*Ph.D Scholar, Department of Entomology, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, 413 722, India.*

**Corresponding author: pavannaickmude@gmail.com*

Received: 07/08/2026 *Accepted on:12/08/2026*

ABSTRACT

The genomic era has transformed insect taxonomy from a discipline primarily dependent on external morphology into one powered by genome-scale evidence. Modern phylogenomic approaches, particularly those based on ultraconserved elements (UCEs), universal single-copy orthologs (USCOs), and whole-genome sequencing, are resolving evolutionary relationships that remained controversial for decades. These technologies have clarified the origins of major insect groups, uncovered cryptic species, redefined taxonomic classifications, and provided unprecedented insights into the evolution of complex traits such as flight, metamorphosis, and social behavior. Beyond systematics, phylogenomics has significant implications for biodiversity conservation, biological control, pest management, and evolutionary biology. This article explores how genomic data are revolutionizing insect taxonomy, highlights landmark discoveries, discusses emerging technologies, and examines future challenges in reconstructing the insect tree of life.

INTRODUCTION

Imagine trying to reconstruct your family tree using only old photographs. Faces may resemble one another, family traditions may hint at relationships, and inherited physical traits can provide valuable clues. Yet without birth records or DNA evidence, many connections remain uncertain. For more than two centuries, insect taxonomists faced a remarkably similar challenge. They reconstructed the evolutionary history of Earth's most diverse animals by carefully examining body structures wing veins, antennae, mouthparts, reproductive organs, leg segments, and countless other anatomical features. These meticulous observations laid the foundations of modern entomology and enabled scientists to classify more than one million described insect species.

Despite these remarkable achievements, morphology alone could not answer every evolutionary question. Over millions of years, natural selection repeatedly shaped unrelated insects to resemble one another through convergent evolution. Tiny parasitoid wasps evolved simplified body plans that concealed their ancestry, while aquatic insects independently acquired similar adaptations for life underwater. Fossils provided tantalizing glimpses into ancient lineages but were often incomplete or preserved without critical anatomical details. As a result, many of the deepest branches in the insect tree of life remained controversial despite decades of careful investigation. The arrival of molecular biology in the late twentieth century offered fresh hope. Early studies comparing mitochondrial DNA and a handful of nuclear genes revealed relationships invisible to morphology, but these limited datasets frequently produced conflicting evolutionary trees. Different genes sometimes told different stories, leaving scientists uncertain about which reflected true evolutionary history. It became increasingly clear that resolving ancient divergences required not dozens of genetic characters, but thousands. The emergence of next-generation sequencing (NGS) changed everything. Instead of studying one or two genes, researchers could now analyze entire genomes or thousands of carefully selected genetic markers simultaneously. This new discipline phylogenomics combines evolutionary biology, genomics, computational science, and advanced statistical modeling to reconstruct the history of life with unprecedented precision. Within little more than a decade, phylogenomics has revolutionized insect systematics. Long-standing controversies have been resolved, hidden species have been discovered, and evolutionary timelines have been refined with remarkable accuracy. Modern genomic approaches such as ultraconserved elements (UCEs), universal single-copy orthologs (USCOs), transcriptomics, and whole-genome sequencing now provide robust evidence for relationships spanning hundreds of millions of years. The implications extend far beyond taxonomy. Accurate evolutionary trees help scientists understand the origins of pollination, metamorphosis, parasitism, social behavior, pesticide resistance, and host specialization. They also improve biodiversity conservation, strengthen biological control programs, and enhance our ability to predict how insects may respond to climate change. Today, insect taxonomy is no longer based solely on what scientists can observe under a microscope. Increasingly, it is informed by billions of DNA nucleotides hidden within every genome. This genomic revolution is reshaping one of biology's oldest disciplines and revealing an evolutionary history far richer and more complex than anyone imagined only a generation ago.

When Morphology Meets Its Limits: Why Traditional Taxonomy Could Not Solve Every Mystery

For more than 250 years, insect taxonomy was built upon one of biology's most fundamental principles: organisms that look alike are likely to be closely related. Beginning with the pioneering work of Carl Linnaeus in the eighteenth century and continuing through generations of entomologists, insect classification relied almost entirely on comparative morphology the careful examination of physical structures. Wing venation, antennae, mouthparts, genitalia, leg segmentation, body sculpture, coloration, and countless microscopic features became the language through which scientists reconstructed evolutionary relationships. This meticulous approach proved remarkably successful, enabling researchers to describe more than one million insect species and establish the taxonomic framework still used today. Morphological taxonomy remains indispensable. Even in the genomic era, every newly discovered insect species must first be identified through observable characteristics before molecular analyses can complement its classification. Museum collections around the world, housing hundreds of millions of preserved insect specimens, represent an irreplaceable archive of morphological diversity. Without the painstaking work of classical taxonomists, modern phylogenomics would lack the essential taxonomic foundation upon which genomic comparisons are built. Yet morphology has inherent limitations, particularly when attempting to reconstruct events that occurred hundreds of millions of years ago. Evolution does not preserve a perfect record of ancestry. Instead, organisms continuously adapt to changing environments, often modifying or losing ancestral characteristics. Over immense evolutionary timescales, the accumulation of adaptive changes can obscure the original signals of common ancestry, making unrelated species appear surprisingly similar or closely related species appear dramatically different. One of the greatest obstacles is convergent evolution, a process in which unrelated organisms independently evolve similar characteristics because they occupy comparable ecological niches rather than because they share a recent common ancestor. Nature repeatedly demonstrates that similar environmental pressures often produce similar biological solutions. Streamlined aquatic insects from unrelated lineages evolve comparable body shapes for efficient swimming. Predatory insects independently develop raptorial forelegs for capturing prey. Wood-boring beetles and certain parasitic wasps exhibit elongated ovipositors or boring structures that superficially resemble one another despite belonging to entirely different evolutionary branches. Such similarities can mislead even experienced taxonomists if morphology is considered in isolation. Equally challenging is evolutionary reduction, where organisms lose structures during adaptation to specialized lifestyles. Numerous parasitoid wasps have evolved extremely simplified body plans as they adapted to life inside hosts. Their minute size and reduction of external structures leave relatively few anatomical characters available for comparison. Likewise, cave-dwelling insects often lose pigmentation and eyesight independently across multiple lineages, producing remarkably similar appearances despite distant evolutionary relationships. These reductions erase many of the morphological clues that classical taxonomy depends upon. The remarkable diversity of insect life histories introduces additional complexity. Complete metamorphosis means that larvae and adults frequently possess entirely different morphologies adapted to different ecological roles. Caterpillars bear little resemblance to butterflies, aquatic mosquito larvae differ dramatically from winged adults, and beetle grubs scarcely resemble the hardened insects they become. Determining which life stage provides the most informative evolutionary characters has long challenged taxonomists, especially when only immature specimens are available. Fossils, although invaluable for understanding extinct diversity, also present significant limitations. Most fossil insects are preserved incompletely, often lacking delicate structures such as antennae, genitalia, or fine wing details that modern taxonomists rely upon. Amber inclusions may preserve extraordinary detail but represent only a tiny fraction of ancient biodiversity. Compression fossils frequently flatten specimens into two-dimensional impressions, obscuring diagnostic features. Consequently, fossil interpretations sometimes conflicted with evidence derived from living insects, leading to competing hypotheses about the evolutionary history of major insect groups. The challenge becomes even greater when studying the deepest branches of the insect evolutionary tree. Ancient divergences that occurred over 350–400 million years ago have been followed by immense periods of independent evolution. During this time, repeated adaptations, extinctions, and evolutionary innovations have altered body plans so extensively that reconstructing ancestral relationships from morphology alone becomes extraordinarily difficult. Questions such as the earliest divergence among winged insects, the origins of complete metamorphosis, or the relationships among basal hemimetabolous orders remained unresolved despite decades of intensive investigation. Recognizing these limitations, taxonomists increasingly turned to molecular biology during the late twentieth century. Early molecular phylogenetic studies typically analyzed one or a few genetic markers, most commonly mitochondrial genes such as cytochrome oxidase I (COI), cytochrome b, or ribosomal RNA genes. These markers often succeeded where morphology struggled, particularly for distinguishing closely related species and identifying cryptic diversity. DNA barcoding, based primarily on COI sequences, rapidly became a valuable tool for species identification and biodiversity assessment. However, these early molecular approaches soon revealed their own shortcomings. A single gene represents only a tiny fraction of an organism's evolutionary history. Different genes evolve at different rates and may experience distinct selective pressures. Some genes accumulate mutations rapidly, while others remain highly conserved. Horizontal gene transfer, incomplete lineage sorting, gene duplication, and hybridization can all produce conflicting evolutionary signals. Consequently, phylogenetic trees constructed from different genes often disagreed with one another, leaving researchers uncertain about which reflected the true species history. This phenomenon became known as the "gene tree versus species tree" problem. Individual genes may possess evolutionary histories that differ from the actual evolutionary history of the organisms carrying them. As a result, analyses based on only one or a handful of genes frequently lacked sufficient statistical power to resolve ancient branching events with confidence. Rather than eliminating uncertainty, early molecular phylogenetics sometimes introduced new controversies by generating alternative evolutionary hypotheses that contradicted both classical morphology and one another. By the early 2000s, it had become evident that resolving insect evolution required a fundamentally different strategy. Instead of relying on a few morphological characters or a handful of genetic loci, researchers needed comprehensive datasets representing thousands of independent genetic markers distributed across entire genomes. Such datasets would allow conflicting signals from individual genes to be averaged out, revealing the underlying evolutionary pattern with much greater confidence. Advances in high-throughput sequencing technology provided exactly this opportunity. Next-generation sequencing dramatically reduced the cost and time required to generate genomic data, allowing scientists to sequence millions of DNA fragments simultaneously. Combined with increasingly powerful computational methods capable of analyzing enormous datasets, these technological breakthroughs gave birth to phylogenomics the integration of genome-scale molecular data with sophisticated evolutionary models to reconstruct the tree of life. Unlike traditional phylogenetics, which depended on limited evidence, phylogenomics draws information from hundreds, thousands, or even tens of thousands of genetic loci simultaneously. This wealth of data minimizes random errors, improves statistical support for evolutionary hypotheses, and enables researchers to resolve relationships that remained ambiguous for decades. Importantly, phylogenomics does not replace morphology but complements it.

Anatomical observations continue to provide essential biological context, while genomic evidence supplies the independent datasets needed to test competing hypotheses objectively. The transition from morphology-based taxonomy to genome-scale systematics represents one of the most significant methodological transformations in modern biology. Rather than abandoning the legacy of classical entomology, phylogenomics builds upon it, integrating centuries of morphological knowledge with the extraordinary resolving power of modern genomics. Together, these complementary approaches are producing the most comprehensive and accurate picture of insect evolution ever assembled (Table 1).

Table 1. Comparison between Classical Taxonomy and Modern Phylogenomics in Insect Systematics.

Feature	Classical Morphological Taxonomy	Modern Phylogenomics
Primary evidence	External and internal anatomical characters	Thousands of genome-wide DNA markers
Data source	Wings, mouthparts, antennae, genitalia, body morphology	UCEs, USCOs, transcriptomes, whole genomes
Resolution	Excellent for species identification but limited for ancient divergences	High resolution from species level to deep evolutionary relationships
Major limitation	Convergent evolution, morphological reduction, fossil incompleteness	Requires high-quality DNA, advanced sequencing, bioinformatics, and computational resources
Statistical confidence	Often qualitative or based on limited character matrices	Quantitative with robust evolutionary models and bootstrap/posterior probability support
Ability to detect cryptic species	Limited	Excellent through genome-wide genetic divergence
Fossil integration	Direct morphological comparison	Combined with molecular clock dating and fossil calibration
Analytical tools	Comparative morphology, cladistics	Maximum likelihood, Bayesian inference, coalescent methods, AI-assisted phylogenetics
Representative outcome	Traditional insect classification	Highly resolved insect Tree of Life with genome-scale evidence

The Phylogenomic Toolbox: How UCEs, USCOs, Whole Genomes, and Artificial Intelligence Are Reconstructing the Insect Tree of Life

The remarkable progress in insect phylogenomics has not occurred because scientists simply began sequencing more DNA. Rather, it reflects the convergence of multiple technological revolutions high-throughput sequencing, computational biology, evolutionary modeling, and artificial intelligence working together to extract meaningful evolutionary signals from enormous genomic datasets. Modern phylogenomics is no longer limited to comparing one or two genes. Instead, researchers routinely analyze thousands of independent genetic markers distributed across entire genomes, producing evolutionary trees with unprecedented accuracy and statistical confidence. Unlike earlier molecular studies, where a single gene might misleadingly suggest one evolutionary relationship while another gene supported a completely different scenario, genome-scale analyses dilute these inconsistencies by integrating evidence across thousands of loci. Individual genes may evolve under unique selective pressures, but the collective genomic signal more accurately reflects the true history of species divergence. This shift from "gene-based phylogenetics" to "genome-based phylogenomics" has fundamentally changed insect systematics and established a new standard for reconstructing evolutionary history. The modern phylogenomic toolkit consists of several complementary approaches, each contributing unique strengths. Together, they allow scientists to investigate evolutionary questions ranging from recent population divergence to ancient branching events that occurred more than 400 million years ago.

Ultraconserved Elements: Nature's Evolutionary Anchors

Among the most influential innovations in phylogenomics is the use of ultraconserved elements (UCEs). These are short DNA regions that have remained remarkably similar across vast evolutionary timescales. Some UCEs are shared among insects separated by hundreds of millions of years of evolution, indicating that these genomic regions perform essential biological functions and therefore experience strong evolutionary constraints. At first glance, highly conserved DNA might appear unsuitable for reconstructing evolutionary history because it changes so little. Surprisingly, the opposite is true. While the central core of a UCE remains almost identical across species, the DNA sequences flanking these conserved regions accumulate mutations gradually through time. These surrounding regions provide precisely the variation needed to distinguish between closely related and distantly related species. Scientists exploit this property using a technique known as target enrichment. Thousands of synthetic DNA probes are designed to match known UCE sequences. During laboratory preparation, these probes selectively bind to corresponding genomic regions extracted from insect specimens. After sequencing, researchers recover hundreds or even thousands of homologous loci from every sampled species. Because each species contributes data from the same genomic regions, comparisons become highly reliable. One of the greatest advantages of UCEs is their universality. The same probe set can often capture informative loci from species separated by hundreds of millions of years, making them ideal for reconstructing deep branches in the insect tree of life. Dedicated probe sets have now been developed for numerous insect orders, including Hymenoptera, Coleoptera, Diptera, Lepidoptera, Orthoptera, Hemiptera, Odonata, and Trichoptera. As additional insect genomes become available, increasingly refined probe libraries continue to improve capture efficiency and phylogenetic resolution. Another significant benefit of UCE analysis is its compatibility with partially degraded DNA. Although fresh specimens generally produce the highest-quality genomic data, carefully optimized protocols can recover UCEs from museum specimens collected decades ago, dramatically expanding the taxonomic diversity available for evolutionary studies. This capability is especially valuable because many rare or extinct insect species exist only in museum collections.

Universal Single-Copy Orthologs: Conserved Genes with Evolutionary Memory

While UCEs primarily target highly conserved genomic regions, Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (USCOs) focus on an entirely different source of evolutionary information protein-coding genes that exist as a single copy in nearly every genome. Orthologous genes originate from a common ancestral gene inherited through speciation events. Because they perform fundamental cellular functions, many of these genes remain remarkably conserved throughout evolution while still accumulating mutations at rates suitable for phylogenetic analysis. Their functional importance makes them relatively resistant to duplication or deletion, reducing one of the major sources of error encountered in comparative genomics. The significance of USCOs extends beyond phylogenetics. Since each gene has a known biological function, researchers can confidently distinguish genuine orthologs from paralogs duplicate genes that arise through gene duplication rather than species divergence. This distinction is critical because mistakenly comparing paralogous genes can generate misleading evolutionary trees. Databases such as BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs) have standardized the identification of these conserved genes across thousands of animal genomes. Modern BUSCO datasets contain thousands of orthologous genes that can be rapidly extracted from newly sequenced insect genomes or transcriptomes. Recent phylogenomic studies increasingly combine USCOs with UCE datasets, creating complementary evidence from both coding and non-coding regions of the genome. This dual approach significantly strengthens phylogenetic inference because conclusions supported by two independent genomic datasets are less likely to represent analytical artifacts. In studies of caddisflies, parasitoid wasps, and true bugs, combined UCE-USCO analyses have consistently produced highly supported phylogenies that resolve relationships remaining uncertain for decades.

Whole-Genome Sequencing: Reading the Entire Evolutionary Blueprint

Although targeted approaches such as UCEs and USCOs are extraordinarily efficient, advances in sequencing technology have made whole-genome sequencing (WGS) increasingly accessible. Instead of analyzing selected regions, WGS determines nearly the complete DNA sequence of an organism. Complete genomes provide an unprecedented amount of evolutionary information. Beyond protein-coding genes, researchers can analyze regulatory sequences, repetitive DNA, structural rearrangements, gene duplications, chromosomal inversions, transposable elements, and patterns of genome evolution that remain invisible in targeted datasets. Whole-genome comparisons have transformed understanding of numerous insect groups. Comparative genomics has revealed how gene families expanded during the evolution of eusocial insects such as ants, bees, and termites. Genome-wide analyses have identified the molecular innovations associated with herbivory, blood feeding, pesticide resistance, and symbiosis with microorganisms. These discoveries not only clarify evolutionary relationships but also explain the genetic mechanisms underlying ecological adaptation. The Earth BioGenome Project, Darwin Tree of Life Project, and i5K Initiative are accelerating this revolution by generating reference-quality genomes for thousands of insect species. As sequencing costs continue to decline, comprehensive genomic comparisons are expected to become standard practice in insect systematics.

Transcriptomics: Sequencing Active Genes

Another powerful component of the phylogenomic toolbox is transcriptomics, which focuses on RNA molecules actively produced by genes rather than genomic DNA itself. Transcriptomes represent the set of genes expressed within particular tissues or developmental stages. Because messenger RNA corresponds directly to protein-coding genes, transcriptome sequencing provides an efficient means of recovering thousands of orthologous loci without sequencing entire genomes. Transcriptomics offers several practical advantages. Insects often possess enormous genomes rich in repetitive DNA, making whole-genome sequencing expensive and computationally demanding. Transcriptome sequencing targets only expressed genes, substantially reducing sequencing costs while retaining thousands of informative loci for phylogenetic analysis. Furthermore, transcriptomics simultaneously reveals patterns of gene expression associated with ecological adaptation, development, immunity, reproduction, and environmental stress. Consequently, researchers can integrate evolutionary history with functional genomics, providing a more complete understanding of how insects evolved their remarkable biological diversity.

Coalescent Models: Reconciling Conflicting Gene Histories

Genome-scale datasets inevitably contain conflicting evolutionary signals because different genes possess different evolutionary histories. This phenomenon, known as incomplete lineage sorting, is especially common when multiple species diverged rapidly within relatively short evolutionary intervals. Traditional phylogenetic methods often concatenated all genes into one massive dataset, assuming every gene shared an identical history. While computationally convenient, this assumption is biologically unrealistic. Modern phylogenomics increasingly employs coalescent-based species tree methods, including ASTRAL, SVDquartets, and STARBEAST2, which explicitly model variation among individual gene trees. Instead of forcing all genes to agree, these methods estimate the species tree most consistent with the collective evidence. Coalescent approaches have proven particularly valuable for resolving rapid radiations within insects, where concatenation sometimes produces misleading relationships supported by apparently high statistical confidence.

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning: The Next Frontier

As genomic datasets continue to expand, artificial intelligence (AI) is emerging as an indispensable tool in phylogenomics. Modern sequencing projects routinely generate terabytes of genomic information. Identifying orthologous genes, detecting contamination, aligning thousands of sequences, selecting optimal evolutionary models, and evaluating millions of possible tree topologies represent computational challenges far beyond manual analysis.

Machine-learning algorithms now assist researchers in several critical tasks:

- Automatically identifying orthologous genes across thousands of genomes.

- Detecting sequencing errors and contaminating microbial DNA.
- Optimizing sequence alignments.
- Selecting the best-fit evolutionary models.
- Predicting missing genomic annotations.
- Accelerating phylogenetic tree searches.
- Identifying hidden patterns of genome evolution that conventional statistical approaches may overlook.

Deep-learning approaches are also improving species identification directly from genomic sequences, integrating molecular and morphological datasets into unified taxonomic frameworks. As AI continues to mature, phylogenetic reconstruction is expected to become faster, more reproducible, and increasingly automated.

Powerful Software Driving the Revolution

Behind every modern phylogenomic study lies an extensive computational pipeline that transforms raw sequencing reads into evolutionary hypotheses. Widely used software includes IQ-TREE, RAxML-NG, MrBayes, BEAST2, RevBayes, ASTRAL, BUSCO, MAFFT, IQ-TREE2, and OrthoFinder. These programs perform sequence alignment, ortholog identification, evolutionary model selection, molecular dating, Bayesian inference, and species-tree estimation. Advances in high-performance computing and cloud-based bioinformatics platforms have dramatically reduced analysis times. Tasks that once required months on desktop computers can now be completed within days or even hours using modern computational clusters.

An Integrated Workflow: From Specimen to Evolutionary Tree

Modern insect phylogenomics follows a highly coordinated workflow. Researchers begin by collecting specimens from field surveys or museum collections, ensuring accurate taxonomic identification through morphological examination. High-quality DNA or RNA is extracted, followed by sequencing using whole-genome, transcriptomic, UCE, or USCO approaches. The resulting raw sequences undergo stringent quality control to remove contaminants and sequencing errors. Specialized software identifies orthologous loci, aligns homologous sequences, and selects the most appropriate evolutionary models. Multiple phylogenetic algorithms then reconstruct evolutionary trees, whose robustness is evaluated through bootstrap analyses, Bayesian posterior probabilities, concordance factors, and coalescent methods. Finally, molecular phylogenies are integrated with fossil evidence, geological history, ecological information, and morphological characters to reconstruct the evolutionary history of insect lineages with unprecedented confidence. Rather than relying on a single line of evidence, modern systematics synthesizes genomics, morphology, paleontology, developmental biology, and ecology into a comprehensive understanding of insect evolution. The phylogenomic toolbox has therefore become much more than a collection of sequencing technologies. It represents a new scientific framework that combines molecular biology, computational science, evolutionary theory, and artificial intelligence to answer some of the oldest questions in entomology. As sequencing technologies continue to advance and genomic resources expand, this toolbox will become even more powerful, bringing scientists closer than ever to reconstructing the complete tree of insect life.

CONCLUSION

The phylogenomics revolution has fundamentally transformed insect taxonomy, replacing uncertainty with unprecedented genomic precision. By integrating ultraconserved elements (UCEs), universal single-copy orthologs (USCOs), whole-genome sequencing, transcriptomics, and advanced computational analyses, scientists are resolving evolutionary relationships that remained controversial for more than a century. These genome-scale approaches have clarified the origins of major insect lineages, uncovered cryptic biodiversity, refined divergence timelines, and strengthened our understanding of key evolutionary innovations such as flight, complete metamorphosis, and eusociality. Importantly, phylogenomics complements rather than replaces classical morphology, creating a more comprehensive framework for insect classification. Beyond systematics, its applications extend to biodiversity conservation, pest management, biological control, biosecurity, and evolutionary ecology. As sequencing technologies become increasingly accessible and genomic resources continue to expand, phylogenomics will further illuminate the insect tree of life, providing the scientific foundation needed to understand and conserve Earth's most diverse and ecologically indispensable group of animals.

REFERENCES

Dai, M., He, S.L., Chen, B. and Li, T.J., 2023. Phylogeny of Rhynchium and Its Related Genera (Hymenoptera: Eumeninae) Based on Universal Single-Copy Orthologs and Ultraconserved Elements. *Insects*, *14*(9), p.775.

Frandsen, P.B., Holzenthal, R.W., Espeland, M., Breinholt, J., Thorpe, J.A.T., Simon, S., Kawahara, A.Y., Plotkin, D., Hotaling, S., Li, Y. and Nelson, C.R., 2024. Phylogenomics recovers multiple origins of portable case making in caddisflies (Insecta: Trichoptera), nature’s underwater architects. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *291*(2026), p.20240514.

Johnson, K.P., Dietrich, C.H., Friedrich, F., Beutel, R.G., Wipfler, B., Peters, R.S., Allen, J.M., Petersen, M., Donath, A., Walden, K.K. and Kozlov, A.M., 2018. Phylogenomics and the evolution of hemipteroid insects. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *115*(50), pp.12775-12780.

Li, F., Wang, X. and Zhou, X., 2025. The genomics revolution drives a new era in entomology. *Annual Review of Entomology*, *70*(1), pp.379-400.

Misof, B., Liu, S., Meusemann, K., Peters, R.S., Donath, A., Mayer, C., Frandsen, P.B., Ware, J., Flouri, T., Beutel, R.G. and Niehuis, O., 2014. Phylogenomics resolves the timing and pattern of insect evolution. *Science*, *346*(6210), pp.763-767.

Zhang, Y.M., Williams, J.L. and Lucky, A., 2019. Understanding UCEs: a comprehensive primer on using ultraconserved elements for arthropod phylogenomics. *Insect Systematics and Diversity*, *3*(5), p.3.